

**REMARKS**

In the Office Communication dated August 28, 2008, the Examiner states that the Response to Restriction and Election of Species Requirement filed May 30, 2008, is not fully responsive because "the claims specified by applicant . . . do not appear to be the correct set of claims readable on the elected species." Office Communication at 2. Specifically, the Examiner states that "it is unclear how claims 110, 121, . . . 140[, and 155] do not read on the elected species." *Id.*

In response to the Office Communication, Applicants confirm that claims 110, 121, 140, and 155 read on the elected species (i.e., isobornyl (meth)acrylate and isobutyl acrylate), as the Examiner correctly notes in the Office Communication. Applicants maintain all other elections made in Applicants' Response to Restriction and Election of Species Requirement filed May 30, 2008. In view of the foregoing remarks, and those set forth in Applicant's Response to Restriction and Election of Species Requirement filed May 30, 2008, which Applicants incorporate herein by reference, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference could be useful in resolving any of the outstanding issues, he is respectfully urged to contact Applicants' undersigned counsel at 202-408-4152.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge  
any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: October 2, 2008

By: 

Aaron M. Raphael  
Reg. No. 47,885